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In recent years, scholarly communities as well as the general public have been increasingly requesting open access to museum collections, prompting institutions to offer online catalogues, mostly of selected objects, in some cases even of their complete collections. Major Egyptian papyrus collections, however, have so far been extremely slow in adopting this open-access approach. Until recently, this was also true of the Museo Egizio in Turin, which houses one of the largest collections of Egyptian antiquities worldwide. The TPOP project aims to conserve, document, digitize and contextualize hundreds of papyrus manuscripts and thousands of fragments in a newly created online platform. This platform will enable scholars to work collaboratively on the material and will provide a straightforward publication outlet for both the scholarly and the general public. It aims to generate value beyond the mere preservation of material culture. The virtual “restoration” of papyri by digitally reconstructing them and joining fragments online makes possible what physical restoration does not allow, namely, the long-term preservation of written cultural heritage and its accessibility by anyone from anywhere and at any time.

1. Introduction

The Museo Egizio holds one of the world’s most significant papyrus collections. It comprises nearly 500 whole or reassembled manuscripts and over 17,000 papyrus fragments. About half of these texts are written in Egyptian (in the hieroglyphic or hieratic script), while the rest are written in Demotic, Greek, Coptic, or Arabic. Most of the papyri were acquired by the government of Piedmont in 1824 from Bernardino Drovetti (1776–1852), the French consul in Egypt at the time. Most of Drovetti’s antiquities were procured in western Thebes. The rest of the papyrus collection comes from the early twentieth century excavations of the Turin museum in Gebelein, Asyut and, again, western Thebes.

The collection houses a number of important and unique texts that are well known to the Egyptological community and the public at large from publi-
The vast majority of the papyrus manuscripts in the Museo Egizio are unpublished and unknown to a wider audience. Most date from the Ramesside period (c. 1300–1050 BCE) and are highly likely to originate from the settlement of Deir el-Medina, which housed the families of the workmen who built the royal tombs. They probably belonged to members of the administration of the royal necropolis.

### 2. Ramesside Papyri in the Museo Egizio

The Ramesside manuscripts in the Turin collection range in content from documentary (e.g. necropolis and temple administration, juridical texts) to literary, ritual, magical and religious. Almost all of them are written in hieratic, and in either the Classical or the Late Egyptian language. The importance and nature of the Turin Ramesside papyrus archive have been highlighted by scholars such as W. Pleyte, F. Rossi, G. Botti, A. Gardiner, J. Černý, J.J. Janssen, A. Roccati, R.J. Demarée, S. Demichelis and others. The archive comprises several thousands of papyrus fragments. Some 300 of these have been reassembled into larger manuscripts (Fig. 1), mostly by the above-cited Egyptologists, who thus laid the foundation for the further study of the Turin hieratic manuscripts.

An overview of already inventoried papyri in Turin is provided in the Deir el-Medina Database of Leiden University (https://dmd.wepwawet.nl/, including a systematic bibliography of Deir el-Medina studies). This is currently the most extensive reference tool for the textual legacy of the administration of the royal necropolis in Ramesside Egypt. 145 Turin papyri with catalogue numbers are currently recorded in this database. Many of these are listed as unpublished and the information provided about them is mainly based on J. Černý’s notebooks (http://archive.griffith.ox.ac.uk/index.php/cerny-collection), which were copied in K. Kitchen’s multivolume collection of Ramesside inscriptions. For others, the information is based on the facsimiles in W. Pleyte and F. Rossi’s catalogue, on old black-and-white photographs, or on brief examinations of the originals by Leiden scholars. Linked with the Leiden DeM Database is the papyrological online tool Trismegistos (http://www.trismegistos.org/), which records
a total of 474 Turin papyri with inventory numbers (Egyptian, Demotic, Greek and Coptic).
Some of the assembled documents are more or less complete manuscripts, though with partly unidentified texts. There are, however, still thousands of tiny fragments, which belong either to these ensembles or to yet other, unidentified texts (Fig. 2).
Despite having been part of the museum collection for almost two centuries, and despite their historical importance, the more than 10,000 papyrus fragments are neither stored according to state-of-the-art guidelines for the conservation of papyri nor documented in the existing museum database. As a consequence, this important material has remained unknown and unpublished, except for a very limited number of documents.
The documentation and reconstruction of the Turin fragmentary manuscripts is a fairly difficult task, mainly due to the fact that it is not possible to spread the individual pieces on a table and try to connect them manually – as we do when assembling a jigsaw puzzle – due to the fragile condition of the papyri and the often non-existent space in the storerooms. Furthermore, when we assemble a puzzle we start from a set of pieces that certainly belong to it; in the case of a papyrus document in an archive such as ours, instead, pieces (possibly) belonging to the same manuscript have to be singled out from thousands of fragments belonging to hundreds of different documents. The identification of fragments of the same papyrus roll is based on material features (such as the pattern of the fibres of the papyrus and its colour), on the text content and layout (including features such as the distance between lines or the density of the ink) and often on palaeography (handwriting) and on various sorts of scribal practices. The distinction between material and writing is of fundamental importance. Assessment of the physical medium is crucial; indeed, the evaluation of each papyrus as a material object can often be as important for its interpretation and contextualisation as the text written upon it. An Egyptologist’s memory is unable to retain all these features of the material and writing for thousands of papyrus fragments; he or she must therefore rely on a relational software tool that suggests possible joins between fragments of papyrus documents on the basis of collected and structured metadata.

Fig. 1: Journal of year 17 of Ramesses IX (P. Turin Cat. 2083/177–179 + 2983/181 + 2083/183 + 2082/172 + 2082/175 + 2082/177 + 2083/179 + 2073/145 + 2076/154–155 versol). Scan enhancement by Martina Landrino/Museo Egizio.

Fig. 2: Undocumented hieratic fragments from Deir el-Medina. Photo by Nicola Dell’Aquila/Museo Egizio.
3. Turin Papyrus Online Platform (TPOP)

Since 2015, the Museo Egizio, together with some of the specialists on Ramesside hieratic manuscripts – namely: Günter Burkard (Munich), Rob J. Demarée (Leiden), Andreas Dorn (Uppsala), Kathrin Gabler (Basel), Maren Goëcke-Bauer (Munich), Frederik Hagen (Copenhagen), Ben J.J. Haring (Leiden), Stéphane Polis (Liège), Lutz Popko (Leipzig) and Daniel Soliman (London) – have been working on a collaborative project with the following objectives:

- Conservation and consolidation of the thousands of papyrus fragments, preserving their ancient appearance and using reversible methods.
- Recording the fragments as well as the already inventoried papyri by entering metadata about their physical features and the writing and drawings they bear in a newly created online database, which will be available to both the general public and scholars worldwide.
- Processing high-resolution digital images of the material and systematically linking them with open metadata in order to enable scholars to work collaboratively on the material and provide a straightforward publication outlet for scholars and the general public.
- Reconstructing individual manuscripts in the Turin collection by digitally joining fragments with the help of the online database and using digital rearrangement as a template for their physical reconstruction.
- Communication of the Egyptological research results to the general public and integrating them in temporary and permanent exhibitions by means of virtual reconstructions.

The central concept of this project is the “Turin Papyrus Online Platform” (TPOP), an open-access repository. It shall facilitate all stages in the workflow, from the conservation of the papyrus material and the recording of data to their online publication. It is a multi-user platform, enabling the community of experts based at different European locations to contribute data. Furthermore, at the front end it will automatically adapt to the needs of different users, from the interested layman to the highly specialized philologist and papyrologist. After conservation of the material and data entry, the three main tasks of the workflow – (1) data documentation, (2) data connection, (3) data contextualisation – correspond, respectively, to three levels in the Thot Data Model (TDM).20 The three key elements of the TDM are: the Object, in our case to a papyrus fragment; the Document, in our case a papyrus roll reconstituted from several Objects (= fragments); and the Witness, a term designating one or more texts written on a Document (= papyrus roll). Any papyrus roll (= Document) potentially contains several texts (= Witnesses). On a single roll of papyrus, one may find a copy of a letter to the authorities, a note about a judicial case, a hymn to Pharaoh and a drawing to be copied on a coffin. Each of these elements is treated as a different Witness, having its own distinctive features (text type, handwriting, content, etc.). It shall then become possible to integrate the translocation, transcription and translation of each witness into a richly annotated corpus of Egyptian texts in order to allow full access to the material.

Four stakeholders will benefit from the results and impact of the Turin Papyrus Online Platform:

1. Egyptologists and historians, who will have online access to this digital repository of written material culture and will be offered access to an online platform where scholars can collaborate in the edition of the papyri.

2. Digital Humanities, as a result of the implementation of a new technological tool (TPOP) for the documentation and reintegration of the past, a tool which will not be a mere update of “Digital Papyrology”,21 but will go beyond textual analysis by creating a collaborative digital framework applicable to all kinds of material culture.

3. The Museo Egizio, whose tangible and virtual cultural heritage will be saved into a digital repository enabling the staff to manage and preserve the material in the long term, on the one hand and, on the other, to display scattered material, digitally reunited in a “virtual” museum.

4. The general public, since information will be provided about the complete workflow and its outcomes in the physical and virtual museum in order to make both the papyri themselves and the research done on them understandable and accessible to the non-Egyptologist public in any place and at any time.
3.1 State of the art and future direction

The Museo Egizio has been developing the TPOP since 2017 (supervision: Susanne Töpfer) in collaboration with the IT company 3×1010 (http://www.3×1010.it/) and with the contribution of the above-mentioned group of specialists. Since the end of 2017, the platform is fully functional. It allows the museum staff to upload images of all the papyri and append a wealth of information to them. Each object is documented with metadata about its inventory number(s), current location, physical features (including sheet joins and overlaps, measurements and material) and the conservation/restoration process, as well as the features of the text witnesses it carries (state of preservation, writing, layout, scribal practice, mode of inscription, type of text, content, keywords, date, etc. Fig. 3) and drawings (type, colour, grid, etc.), if any. The vocabulary for the dropdown lists for the description of the witnesses and their dating was imported from the ongoing Thoth project (THesauri & Ontology for Documenting Ancient Egyptian Resources; http://thot.philo.ulg.ac.be) and the Leiden Deir el-Medina Database. The open-source JSesh hieroglyph-writing software22 is integrated into the platform: the hieroglyphic text can be produced automatically from the Manuel de Codage field. The images are produced as high-resolution TIFF scans at a 1:1 scale.

Similarly to Google Maps navigation, the images are uploaded in a creation engine and transformed into tiles visualized in a web viewer. This viewer will allow scholars to attach comments to any position on the surface of the papyrus by using popup forms. The list of comments will be shown at the bottom of the page and the exact position in the image will be highlighted by clicking on a comment (Fig. 4).

Uploads of additional PDF documents are possible (subject to copyright laws), such as the relevant entries in the catalogue by Fabretti et al.23 or the facsimiles by Pleyte and Rossi24 (Fig. 5). Furthermore, Rob Demarée has entrusted the Museo Egizio with hundreds of copies of his transcriptions and notes, which are a major resource for the joining of fragments and rearranging of documents. The digitisation and processing of these notebooks for the database has been completed and the PDFs have been uploaded, although they are currently only visible to the collaborating partners.

Since March 2018, a beta version of the platform is available to a selected group of users25 who have editor rights for the witness level in order to contribute information about the texts. To date, about 283 entries (= objects) have been created and more than 409 images and 148 PDF-documents have been uploaded, although they are currently only visible to the collaborating partners.

Since March 2018, a beta version of the platform is available to a selected group of users25 who have editor rights for the witness level in order to contribute information about the texts. To date, about 283 entries (= objects) have been created and more than 409 images and 148 PDF-documents have been uploaded, although they are currently only visible to the collaborating partners.

Since March 2018, a beta version of the platform is available to a selected group of users25 who have editor rights for the witness level in order to contribute information about the texts. To date, about 283 entries (= objects) have been created and more than 409 images and 148 PDF-documents have been uploaded, although they are currently only visible to the collaborating partners.

Since March 2018, a beta version of the platform is available to a selected group of users25 who have editor rights for the witness level in order to contribute information about the texts. To date, about 283 entries (= objects) have been created and more than 409 images and 148 PDF-documents have been uploaded, although they are currently only visible to the collaborating partners.
literary, ritual and magic) with inventory numbers. By the spring of 2019, the online platform will be fully accessible via a newly created website for registered users. The wealth of information contained in the Turin manuscripts potentially holds great appeal for the layperson; therefore the front end of the platform will provide an interface allowing the general public to retrieve and display information stored within the database. Furthermore, the website will provide a backstage view where users can learn about the online platform, the workflow of papyrus documentation, ongoing restoration and the research conducted by scholars on Turin papyri, as well as about collaborative research projects.
Over the next few years, the platform will be constantly extended and enhanced, focusing on linked open data. While the staff of the Museo Egizio will continue with the conservation, consolidation and documentation of the thousands of fragments within this platform, additional support for the group of collaborative partners will be necessary for supplying metadata for the witness-level and contextualise the text content and scribal practices. For that purpose, the Museo Egizio is funding two doctoral students and a post-doctoral one whose research projects focus on Ramesside hieratic papyri in the Museo Egizio.26

Furthermore, from March 2019 onward the museum will become a partner in an SNF-FNRS Lead Agency (http://www.snf.ch/en/funding/projects/lead-agency/Pages/default.aspx) joint project of the universities of Basel and Liège entitled “Crossing Boundaries: Understanding complex scribal practices in Deir el-Medina”.27 This project aims to study the heterogeneous Ramesside papyri from Deir el-Medina held in the Turin collection with a particular focus on the specific scribal practices of the individual agents producing the texts. The results of the project (information about material aspects, edition and reconstruction of texts, etc.) will be incorporated in the Turin Papyrus Online Platform. The “Crossing Boundaries” project will provide financial support to employ a certified restorer who will take care of the conservation and consolidation of these numerous fragments; many papyri need to be cleaned, unfolded, straightened, and anchored with correctly aligned fibres in order to improve (or simply allow) legibility, and to allow them to be reproduced photographically so they can be worked on remotely. Moreover, this project envisages the development of a digital light-table that will allow papyrologists and Egyptologists to make virtual joins of papyrus fragments by manipulating their digital images.

3.2 Beyond Ramesside papyri

In the long run, open-access documentation and digitisation in the Turin Papyrus Online Platform will be extended to include all the papyrus material held in the Museo Egizio, including the funerary papyri from the Third Intermediate Period to the Roman period, and the Demotic, Greek, Coptic and Arabic documentary and literary manuscripts (cf. Figs. 6, 7, 8); of which the museums holds thousands of fragments, most likely from the Turin museum’s early twentieth century excavations in Hermopolis, Asyut, Western Thebes and, above all, Gebelein. These papyrus fragments are in a very fragile condition. They need to be first carefully consolidated and subsequently digitised in order to provide the basis for further research on the non-Ramesside material. This material will also be made available online, nearly one hundred years after it entered the museum.

3.3 Final remarks

This Museo Egizio aims to employ digital information technologies to restore fragmentary written cultural heritage and make it virtually accessible across borders and disciplinary boundaries. The Turin Papyrus Online Platform seeks to generate value going beyond the preservation of material culture. The virtual “restoration” of papyri by means of digital documentation, connection and networking makes possible what physical restoration does not allow, namely, the preservation of written cultural heritage in the long run and its accessibility by anyone from anywhere and at any time. The Museo Egizio is among the first museums to distance itself from the practice of granting permission to publish individual manuscripts to one single scholar, a policy which typically results in only few publications in proportion to the amount of papyri.

4 Excursus: Cat. inventory numbers

The Museo Egizio in Turin has several types of mutually exclusive inventory numbers.28 Special difficulties arise for the papyri bearing Cat.-inventory numbers. Cat. (sometimes also abbreviated as C.) stands for “Catalogo”, i.e., the numbers assigned to the museum’s objects in the catalogue by Fabretti et al. (2 vols., 1882 and 1888), notably including the Drovetti collection. In the first volume of the catalogue by Fabretti et al. (1882), 391 inventory numbers are assigned to hieratic or hieroglyphic papyri (Cat. 1769–2160). Some of these (Cat. 2042–2114) comprise several fragments belonging to different manuscripts, which were given sub-numbers (nos. 1–504) by Fabretti et al. Old labels with the
Fig. 6: Undocumented Demotic fragments from Gebelein, CP 170, layer 1. Scan by Museo Egizio.

Fig. 7: Undocumented Arabic fragments, CP 184, layer 10. Scan by Museo Egizio.

Fig. 8: Undocumented Coptic fragments, CP 183, layer 2. Scan by Museo Egizio.
sub-numbers are attached to the fragments, and these labels, when present, are crucial to distinguish the different (fragmentary) manuscripts.

The following example should help to clarify this situation. Cat. 2094 comprises 11 fragments (objects) identified by the sub-numbers 236–246, which belong to at least four documents (we have not yet managed to identify sub-numbers 240 and 241 in the museum collection):

- **Document A** (recto: journal and account; verso: delivery) Fig. 9 Cat. 2094/236 + Cat. 2094/237 + Cat. 2094/238 + Cat. 2094/239
- **Document B** (recto: protocol; verso: list) Fig. 10 Cat.2094/242 + Cat.2094/243 + Cat.2094/245 + Cat.2094/246 + Cat.2095/247 + Cat.2095/248 + Cat.2095/250 + Cat.2095/256 + Cat.2068/126 + Cat. 2065/101-105
- **Document C** (recto: necropolis journal) Cat. 2094/244 + Cat. 1898 + Cat. 1926 + Cat. 1937

The sub-numbers were not always retained in subsequent publications and in online databases (e.g. the Leiden DeM Database and Trismegistos), which...
makes the identification of documents based solely on their main inventory number (e.g. Cat. 2094) often difficult. Scholars are encouraged to retain the sub-numbers to allow different documents to be distinguished, and to make sure complete information is provided about the papyri and the texts they bear. I should add that Fabretti et al. were not consistent in their allocation of sub-numbers. In the Cat. 1769–2041 and Cat. 2115–2117 ranges, we quite often find several fragments assembled under one inventory number. These fragments can sometimes be distinguished on the basis of the descriptions and measurements given in the catalogue; in this case, they are numbered consecutively as 001 etc. in the Turin Papyrus Online Platform.

Notes

1 For an overview, see Roccati, in Donadoni Roveri (ed.), Dal museo al museo, 1989 and Demichelis, in Museo Egizio, 2015.
3 Ryholt, Ägypten und Levante 14 (2004), with further references.
4 De Buck, JEA 23 (1937); Devéria, Journal asiatique (sér. 6), vol. 8 (1886) and vol. 10 (1887).
5 Omolin, Der Papyrus 55001, 1873; Brawanski and Fischer-Elfert, SAK 41 (2012).
6 See in particular Goyon, ASAE 49 (1949) as well as Harrell and Brown, JARCE 29 (1992). Andreas Dorn (Uppsala) and Stéphane Polis (Liège) are preparing an extensive study of the recto (map) and verso (various texts) of the papyrus.
7 See Müller, in Janowski and Wilhelm (eds.), Texte zum Rechts- und Wirtschaftsleben, 2004, with references.
8 Demarée and Valbelle, Les registres de recensement, 2011.
9 Quirke, Going Out in Daylight, 2013; Preis, Il papiro di Kha, 2017.
10 Lepsius, Das Todtenbuch der Ägypter, 1842.
11 Botti, L’archivio demotico, 1967. A comprehensive edition of this archive is the subject of a dissertation by Lorenzo Uggetti (Paris), which the author defended in February 2018 and is preparing for publication.
13 See Orlandi, Augustinianum 53 (2013), with references.
15 On the Deir el-Medina holdings in the Museo Egizio and their origin, see Del Vesco and Poole, in Dorn and Polis (eds.), Outside the Box, 2018.
17 KRI.
18 Pleyte and Rossi, Papyrus de Turin, 1869–1876.
19 For a first overview of some of the fragmentary material see Demichelis, Memorie dell’Accademia delle Scienze di Torino 40 (2016), pp. 3–44.
20 Polis and Razanajao, Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies (2016). The TDM is a conceptual data model developed for inscribed material from ancient Egypt that is fully compatible with the standards of the International Council of Museums (ICOM) for the recording of cultural heritage (CIDOC Conceptual Reference Model).
21 While papyrology – the study of written material from the Hellenistic to the Arab periods – is already in the “digital vanguard” (cf. Reggiani, Digital Papyrology, 2017, pp. 2–10; Berti and Naether, Altertumswissenschaften in a Digital Age, 2016; http://www.papyri.info), Egyptian philology and most notably the study of hieratic texts has so far been very slow in catching up.
24 Pleyte and Rossi, Papyrus de Turin, 1869–1876.
25 Including the collaborating partners: Günter Burkard (Munich), Rob J. Demarée (Leiden), Andreas Dorn (Uppsala), Kathrin Gabler (Basel), Maren Goecke-Bauer (Munich), Ben J.J. Haring (Leiden), Stéphane Polis (Liège), Lutz Popko (Leipzig) and Daniel Soliman (London).
27 The “Crossing Boundaries” team is composed of: Antonio Loprieno and Stéphane Polis (Principal Investigators, Basel and Liège, respectively), Kathrin Gabler and Stephan Unter (Basel), Christian Greco and Susanne Töpfer (Turin). A preliminary report on the aims and workflow of the research project will be published by the end of 2019 in the Rivista del Museo Egizio.
28 For further information on the inventory number system of the Museo Egizio, see the guidelines of the Rivista del Museo Egizio: https://rivista.museoegizio.it/pubblica-con-noi/.
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